UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,346	06/09/2006	Joachim Spratte	72253	5517
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 02/09/200 UTTLE, PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9227			NGUYEN, HANH N	
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/596,346	SPRATTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HANH N. NGUYEN	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,—	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	/ -					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
oloood irradoordanido with the practice andor E.	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(-1) (5)				
,	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	لمرين و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/06. 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/596,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Li (US 5,945,749).

Regarding claim 1, Li discloses a chassis part of a vehicle (abstract), the chassis part comprising: a spring (6, 4 in Fig. 1); a magnet (1); and at least one electric coil (7), which interacts with the magnetic field generated by the magnet (1), wherein the magnet (1) and the coil are mobile in relation to one another (Col. 5, lines 5-10), wherein the chassis part can perform vibrations at at least one natural frequency, the magnet (1) is fastened to said spring (4, 6) and is mobile relative to the coil (7), and the natural frequency of the oscillator having the magnet (1) and the coil (7) is tuned to the natural frequency of the chassis part (abstract and Col. 5, lines 40-45).

Regarding claim 4, Li also discloses a chassis part wherein the spring (4, 6) is a coil spring.

Regarding claim 5, Li also discloses a chassis part wherein the magnet (1 in Fig. 1) is arranged in the spring (4, 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/596,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Delson et al (US 6,307,285).

Regarding claim 2, Li shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing a chassis part wherein the magnet is guided linearly movably in a sleeve made of a nonmagnetic material.

However, Delson et al. disclose a chassis part wherein the magnet is guided linearly movably in a sleeve (12 in Fig. 1A) made of a nonmagnetic material (Col. 20, lines 15-20) for the purpose of constraining the movable magnet.

Since Li and Delson et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Delson et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Li.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Li by using a sleeve made of a nonmagnetic material to guide the magnet as taught by Delson et al. for the purpose of constraining the movable magnet.

Regarding claim 3, Li also discloses a chassis part wherein the magnet is fastened in a sliding element (3 in Fig. 1) made of a nonmagnetic material.

Art Unit: 2834

3. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Leibovich (US 4,928,028).

Regarding claim 6, Li shows all limitations of the claimed invention except showing a chassis part wherein a second electric coil is provided and the magnet is arranged between the two electric coils.

However, Leibovich discloses a chassis part wherein a second electric coil is provided and the magnet is arranged between the two electric coils (Fig. 5) for the purpose of precluding saturation of the magnetic circuit elements in operation (Col. 3, lines 28-30).

Since Li and Leibovich are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Leibovich would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Li.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Li by providing a second electric coil and the magnet is arranged between the two electric coils as taught by Leibovich for the purpose of precluding saturation of the magnetic circuit elements in operation.

Regarding claim 7, Leibovich also discloses a chassis part wherein the two electric coils have a core (59 in Fig. 5) each made of a magnetic material, wherein the two cores are connected to one another via a housing (56, 57 in Fig. 5) made of a magnetic material.

Regarding claim 8, Leibovich also discloses a chassis part wherein the magnet (72), the spring (64, 65) and the two coils are arranged in the housing (56, 57).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,346 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's

supervisor, Quyen Leung, can be reached on (571) 272-8188. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300

for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1000.

HNN

February 4, 2009

/Nguyen N Hanh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834

Application/Control Number: 10/596,346

Page 6

Art Unit: 2834